

PART 1 - PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** Environment Portfolio Holder

**For any pre-decision scrutiny questions by the Environment  
PDS Committee on**

**Date:** 1<sup>st</sup> March 2011

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent Executive Non-Key

**Title:** **PENGE TOWN CENTRE PARKING REVIEW**

**Contact Officer:** Leon Darrell, Traffic Engineer  
Tel: 020 8313 4231 E-mail: leon.darrell@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Nigel Davies, Director of Environmental Services

**Ward:** Penge & Cator

---

## 1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 Following concerns raised by residents and Ward Members, a consultation exercise has been carried out with residents in the centre of Penge.
- 1.2 This report sets out the initial findings from the consultation, and makes recommendation for more specific consultation in identified roads.

---

## 2. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 That the Portfolio Holder agrees that Wordsworth Road, Southey Street, Raleigh Road, Cottingham Road, Kingsdale Road and Torr Road are further consulted on the possibility of a permit parking scheme being implemented.
- 2.2 That the Portfolio Holder agrees that Kingswood Road, Crampton Road, Station Road Lucas Road, Bredhurst Road, Mosslea Road, St Johns Road, Montrave Road, Phoenix Road and Barsons Close are further consulted on the possibility of a permit parking scheme being implemented.
- 2.3 That the Portfolio Holder agrees that Maitland Road, Westbourne Road, Linden Grove, Parish Lane and part of Lennard Road be further consulted on the possibility of a permit parking scheme being implemented OR timed parking restrictions.

- 2.4 That the Portfolio Holder agrees that Studland Road, Bailey Place and Tennyson Road are looked at further, in isolation, for possible timed parking restrictions.**
- 2.5 That the Portfolio Holder agrees that all other roads, except those identified in 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, be omitted from any further consultation.**

## Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing policy.
  2. BBB Priority: Quality Environment.
- 

## Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Estimated cost of further consultation is £1,000.
  2. Ongoing costs: N/A.
  3. Budget head/performance centre: TfL budget for Parking Schemes.
  4. Total current budget for this head: £297k, of which £20k has been allocated to this scheme. The uncommitted balance is £17k.
  5. Source of funding: Transport for London.
- 

## Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 1
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: 40
- 

## Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Non-statutory - Government guidance.
  2. Call-in: Call-in is applicable
- 

## Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): 300.
- 

## Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Yes.
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: Cllr Getgood is supportive of the review but has not yet commented on the proposals.

## 2 COMMENTARY

### PROPOSED SCHEMES

- 3.1 A number of roads in Penge have been identified as having parking problems, including commuter parking in roads surrounding Penge East Train station. This was determined by concerns raised by residents and Ward Members. Residents were advised that this consultation was only to gauge initial views. Any roads being considered for a parking scheme would be only where the majority of residents feel there was a problem with parking in their road, or where a proposal for a nearby scheme might affect the road.
- 3.2 A consultation questionnaire was sent out residents, the area of the consultation is shown in Plan ESD-10763. Results of the consultation identify the following roads as having parking problems for which residents would like action taken:
- |                          |                 |                   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| ○ Bailey Place           | ○ Linden Grove  | ○ Raleigh Road    |
| ○ Barsons Close          | ○ Lucas Road    | ○ Southey Street  |
| ○ Bredhurst Road         | ○ Maitland Road | ○ Station Road    |
| ○ Cottingham Road        | ○ Montrave Road | ○ Studland Road   |
| ○ Crampton Road          | ○ Mosslea Road  | ○ Tennyson Road   |
| ○ Kingsdale Road         | ○ Parish lane   | ○ Torr Road       |
| ○ Kingswood Road         | ○ Phoenix Road  | ○ Venner Road     |
| ○ Lennard Road (part of) |                 | ○ Wordsworth Road |
- 3.3 Full summary details of the consultations returns are shown in Appendix A.
- 3.4 Given the locations of the roads where residents have indicated there is a problem with parking, it is proposed to consult further on the possibility of implementing permit parking in roads that might form a suitable permit zone. This would not just be in the roads indicating a problem, but in roads adjacent, where displaced vehicles might park.
- 3.5 One such zone is Wordsworth Road, Southey Street, Raigleigh Road, Cottingham Road, Kingsdale Road and Torr Road. All of these roads apart from Torr Road, indicated a majority view in favour of changes to the current parking arrangements.
- 3.6 A second possible zone is Kingswood Road, Crampton Road, Station Road, Lucas Road, Bredhurst Road, Mosslea Road, St Johns Road, Montrave Road, Phoenix Road and Barsons Close. Not all of these roads have shown a majority in favour of change, but would need to be included in any further consultation in respect of probable displacement.
- 3.7 A petition containing over 250 signatures has been received from residents in the roads listed in 3.6, objecting to any permit scheme.
- 3.8 A third possible zone is Maitland Road, Westbourne Road, Linden Grove, Parish Lane and part of Lennard Road, but these roads might be better suited to having some suitable timed parking restrictions installed, rather than a permit scheme.
- 3.9 Although a slight majority of residents in Venner Road have expressed dissatisfaction with the current parking arrangements and shown interest in a permit scheme, officers consider that no further action should be taken here, as the majority is slight and residents in the nearby roads, Byne Road and Wiverton Road, have not expressed a desire for change.
- 3.10 Neither Studland Road, Bailey Place or Tennyson Road would be considered for permit parking, as these roads are quite small and may not be suited to a permit scheme, in isolation

from other roads. The possibility of timed parking restrictions would therefore be investigated in these roads.

#### 4 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 In “Building a Better Bromley 2020 Vision – Quality Environment”, two stated issues to be tackled are: (i) Promoting safe parking provision; and (ii) Improving the road network for all users.

#### 5 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Further consultation costs will be £1k which will be funded from TfL budget for parking schemes 2010/11, of which £20k has been allocated to this scheme, with an uncommitted balance of £17k available.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Legal implications
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	